

GUIDELINES FOR THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION

ANII – GSK FUND 2023 CALL FOR PROPOSALS

TECHNICAL EVALUATION					
1- ORIGINALITY AND CONTRIBUTION TO THE AREA OF KNOWLEDGE (25%)					
Does the proposal introduce original and innovative concepts? Is the implementation of the project justified within the current state of knowledge? Is there an adequate, updated and comprehensive review of the relevant bibliography for the project?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					
2- CONCEPTUAL CLARITY AND FEASIBILITY OF THE PROPOSAL (50%)					
2.1. CLARITY IN FORMULATING THE GENERAL OBJECTIVE AND THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES (30%)					
Are the project's research questions, hypotheses and objectives presented in a clear, relevant and coherent manner? Are the general and specific objectives properly formulated?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					
2.2. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY (50%)					
Is the proposed methodology appropriate to reach the project's objectives? Are the research design and the methodology adequately outlined? Does the proposal have a multi-dimensional and interdisciplinary approach? Does the proposal includes gender perspective?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					

2.3. WORK PLAN (20%)					
<p>Are the activities in line with the proposed objectives and methodology? Do the time and the responsibilities planned for the activities allow the appropriate development of the project? Do the activities show a suitable distribution over time?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					
3- RESEARCH TEAM (25%)					
<p>Do the scientist in charge and the researchers have the scientific background, skills and/or education to properly conduct this research? Are the teams assembled with an interdisciplinary approach? Are the responsibilities and tasks of the team members clearly and properly defined? Does the research team include women in leadership roles (Responsible or Co-Responsible)?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					
4- OVERALL JUDGMENT					
<p>Without referring to its financing or approval, what do you consider to be the main strengths and weaknesses of this project?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					
5- ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE (it is optional, confidential and is not part of the evaluation)					

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS (UNWEIGHTED)		
1. BUDGET		
<p>IMPORTANT: The Evaluation and Monitoring Committee reserves the right to not recognise budget expenses from a research proposal if it considers that a requested item is not relevant</p> <p>Does the budget require remarks?</p>		
SCORE	YES	NO
Explain your choice:		
2. ETHICAL ASPECTS		
<p>Does the project meet the ethical requirements for the implementation of the proposed activities?</p>		
SCORE	YES	NO
Explain your choice:		

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE COMMITTEE		
Does the Committee advise funding this proposal?	YES	NO
FINAL RATING		
Excellent project	(5)	
Project with minor remarks	(3 - 4)	
Project with major remarks	(1 - 2)	
Explain your choice:		