

GUIDELINES FOR THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION

ANII – GSK FUND 2025 CALL FOR PROPOSALS

TECHNICAL EVALUATION					
1- ORIGINALITY AND CONTRIBUTION TO THE AREA OF KNOWLEDGE (25%)					
Does the proposal introduce original and innovative concepts? Is the implementation of the project justified within the current state of knowledge? Is there an adequate, updated and comprehensive review of the relevant bibliography for the project?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					
2- CONCEPTUAL CLARITY AND FEASIBILITY OF THE PROPOSAL (50%)					
2.1. CLARITY IN FORMULATING THE GENERAL OBJECTIVE AND THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES (30%)					
Are the project's research questions, hypotheses and objectives presented in a clear, relevant and coherent manner? Are the general and specific objectives properly formulated?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					
2.2. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY (50%)					
Is the proposed methodology appropriate to reach the project's objectives? Are the research design and the methodology adequately outlined? Does the proposal have a multi-dimensional and interdisciplinary approach? Does the proposal include gender perspective?					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
Explain your choice:					

2.3. WORK PLAN (20%)					
<p>Are the activities in line with the proposed objectives and methodology? Do the time and the responsibilities planned for the activities allow the appropriate development of the project? Do the activities show a suitable distribution over time?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					
3- RESEARCH TEAM (25%)					
<p>Do the scientist in charge and the researchers have the scientific background, skills and/or education to properly conduct this research? Is the team assembled with an interdisciplinary approach? Are the responsibilities and tasks of the team members clearly and properly defined? Does the research team include women in leadership roles (Responsible or Co-Responsible)?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					
4- OVERALL JUDGMENT					
<p>Without referring to its financing or approval, what do you consider to be the main strengths and weaknesses of this project?</p>					
SCORE	1 (Unsatisfactory)	2 (Acceptable)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)
<p>Explain your choice:</p>					

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. BUDGET

IMPORTANT: The Evaluation and Monitoring Committee reserves the right to not recognise budget expenses from a research proposal if it considers that a requested item is not relevant

Does the budget require remarks?

SCORE	YES	NO

Explain your choice:

2. ETHICAL ASPECTS

Does the project meet the ethical requirements for the implementation of the proposed activities?

SCORE	YES	NO

Explain your choice:

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE COMMITTEE

Overall judgment and feedback to the proponent

--