







MODALITY B

GUIDELINES FOR THE TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

EDUCATION SECTOR FUND "DIGITAL INCLUSION: EDUCATION WITH NEW HORIZONS"

Modality B is supported by Canada's IDRC and its aim is to fund projects or initiatives that will provide concrete solutions to problems or needs in countries in Latin America and the Caribbean for the continuation of education during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. They do not have to be relevant or applicable to the Ceibal Plan.

The following is a basic guide to the assessment of the projects. Each item and subitem is accompanied by a set of guiding questions to help undertake the assessment. While these questions are the basis of the assessment, it does not follow that the rating of each item or subitem should not incorporate or be based on other aspects that the assessor may deem applicable. In addition, it is clear that in some cases it will not be possible to answer all the guiding questions.

A. TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

	the implementation of atus of knowledge?	f the project jus	tified and releva	int in the context	of the current
	there evidence of a su	• •	and comprehen	sive review of lite	rature relevant
• Do an 19	the implementation o bes the Project address d the Caribbean relate pandemic? 'are the problem/s to b	s one or more p ed to the contin	uation of educat	tion during and af	
CORE	Unsatisfactory (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Outstanding (5)
upport yc	our assessment:		<u> </u>		<u> </u>







- Are they consistent with the problem raised by the project?
- Does the proposal aim to provide a concrete solution to the problem/s or need/s identified?
- Does the proposal include a gender perspective?

SCORE	Unsatisfactory (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Outstanding (5)
upport yo	ur assessment:				
.3. Project	t design and metho	dology (20%)			
• Are	the project design	and methodology	properly stated	d?	
	he methodology pro				uitable?
• Do	es the project desig	n reflect the inter	disciplinary nat	ure of the proposa	al?
	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
CORE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
AreDo	the activities consi the schedule and re	esponsibilities pla			
 Are Do pro 	the activities consi	esponsibilities pla n of the project?	nned in the wor		
 Do pro Is t 	the activities consi the schedule and re per implementation	esponsibilities pla n of the project?	nned in the wor		
 Are Do pro Is t 	e the activities consi the schedule and re oper implementation he distribution of tin Unsatisfactory	esponsibilities pla n of the project? me for each activi Fair	nned in the wor ty suitable? Good	k packages contri	bute to the Outstanding
Are Do pro Is t	e the activities consi the schedule and re oper implementation he distribution of tin Unsatisfactory (1)	esponsibilities pla n of the project? me for each activi Fair	nned in the wor ty suitable? Good	k packages contri	bute to the Outstanding
Are Do pro Is t	e the activities consi the schedule and re oper implementation he distribution of tin Unsatisfactory	esponsibilities pla n of the project? me for each activi Fair	nned in the wor ty suitable? Good	k packages contri	bute to the Outstanding
Are Do pro Is t	e the activities consi the schedule and re oper implementation he distribution of tin Unsatisfactory (1)	esponsibilities pla n of the project? me for each activi Fair	nned in the wor ty suitable? Good	k packages contri	bute to the Outstanding
Are Do pro Is t	e the activities consi the schedule and re oper implementation he distribution of tin Unsatisfactory (1)	esponsibilities pla n of the project? me for each activi Fair (2)	nned in the wor ty suitable? Good (3)	k packages contri	bute to the Outstanding







- Does the Project involve a significant contribution to knowledge? •
- Does the Project contribute to providing concrete solutions to problems or needs in • countries in Latin America and the Caribbean for the continuation of education during and/or after the COVID-19 pandemic?
- Will the Project produce tangible effects on the country or countries involved as well as on the educational context?
- Does the Project have a written endorsement from a competent authority that details its possible usefulness and impact?
- Could the Project be scalable and replicable in other contexts around the world?

SCORE	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Support your assessment:

2.2. Impact (35%)

- Will the expected outcomes have a significant impact on scientific knowledge? •
- Do the expected outcomes contribute to understanding the relationship between digital technologies and learning?
- Are the impacts described as measurable in the project relevant to knowledge?
- Can the project findings apply and/or be transferred to educators, educational policy makers or users as applicable?

SCORE	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Support your assessment:

2.3. Promotion and dissemination (10%)

Does the project include mechanisms for the promotion and dissemination of the research findings and the proposed solution? (NB: Modality B does not fund participation in national and/or international conferences, presentations or symposia, publication of academic articles in peer-review journals as mechanisms for the promotion and dissemination of findings).

SCORE	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)





Fundación**Ceibal**



Support your assessment:

2.4. Intellectual property and transfer (5%)

- If applicable, does the Project include clear ways of protecting the resulting intellectual property (patents, licensing, etc.)?
- Does it include appropriate mechanisms to ensure the free availability and/or reuse of research inputs?

SCORE	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Support your assessment:

2.5. Ethical aspects (10%)

- Have the ethical issues involved in the research been identified?
- Have the necessary measures to address them been considered?
- Does the research involve any of the scenarios described in Appendix II regarding ethical aspects requiring special provisions, e.g. the gathering and mass processing of personal data or special data categories or particularly sensitive data or other?

SCORE	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Support your assessment:

3.

RESOURCES FOR PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION Weighting: 30%

3.1. Human resources (70%)

- Do the researchers have the necessary scientific training, skills and/or background for the proper conduct of this research?
- Are the members' duties and responsibilities clearly and properly defined?
- Is the dedication of the different participants in the project appropriate for their roles? Is the amount of time and effort suited to the scope of the Project?
- Does the project contemplate creating inter-institutional partnerships with other national and/or international institutions to enrich the research proposal?

Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	









International Development Research Centre Centre de recherches pour le développement international

SCORE					(5)
Support voi	Ir assessment:				
Support you	ii assessment.				
3.2. Other	resources and budg	get (30%)			
• Is th	ne budget well struc	tured for the un	dertaking of the	planned activities	and the
ach	ievement of Project	objectives?	-	-	
• Doe	es the project have	complementary r	means of funding	3;	
	Unsatisfactory	Fair	Good	Very good	Outstanding
SCORE	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	_				
Support you	Ir assessment:				
,					

OVERALL JUDGM	ENT OF EXTERNAL AS	SESSMENT	
Rating of the proposal	Projects with major observations	Projects with minor observations	Outstanding project
Support your asse	ssment:	L	L

OVERA	LL ASSESSMENT BY THE ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING COMMITTEE
1.	Judgment
•	Should this application be funded?
YES	





Fundación**Ceibal**



International Development Research Centre Centre de recherches pour le développement international

2. Overall Rating	
Outstanding project	(5)
Project with minor observations	(3 - 4)
Project with major observations	(1 – 2)
Support your assessment:	